

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/506,732	07/26/2005	. Satoru Okamoto	4605-045107	7035
28289 7590 05/29/2007 THE WEBB LAW FIRM, P.C.			EXAMINER	
700 KOPPERS	BUILDING		GROUP, KARL E	
436 SEVENTH PITTSBURGH	· · · · - · · - -	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1755	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 IN TE OF THIS COMMUNICAL IN no event, however, may all apply and will expire SIX (6) MC ause the application to become plate of this communication, even by 2007. Section is non-final.	a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). if timely filed, may reduce any atters, prosecution as to the merits is
Examiner Karl E. Group ars on the cover sheet of the communication of the cover sheet of the communication of the communication of the cover sheet of the c	Art Unit 1755 with the correspondence address MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). if timely filed, may reduce any
Karl E. Group ars on the cover sheet of the communication of the cover of the communication of the cover of the cover of the communication of the cover of the co	MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). if timely filed, may reduce any
IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 INTE OF THIS COMMUNICAL IN no event, however, may a supply and will expire SIX (6) MC ause the application to become a late of this communication, even action is non-final. The except for formal management of the supply and will expire SIX (6) MC ause the application to become a late of this communication, even action is non-final. The except for formal management of the supplement of t	MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). if timely filed, may reduce any
IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 INTE OF THIS COMMUNICALLY IN no event, however, may all apply and will expire SIX (6) MC ause the application to become a late of this communication, even are of this communication, even action is non-final. The except for formal may parte Quayle, 1935 C. In consideration.	MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). if timely filed, may reduce any
TE OF THIS COMMUN (a). In no event, however, may a l apply and will expire SIX (6) MC ause the application to become a late of this communication, even y 2007. action is non-final. be except for formal may a parte Quayle, 1935 C. In consideration.	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). if timely filed, may reduce any atters, prosecution as to the merits is
action is non-final. ce except for formal ma parte Quayle, 1935 C. n consideration. election requirement.	
action is non-final. ce except for formal ma parte Quayle, 1935 C. n consideration. election requirement.	
n consideration. election requirement.	
election requirement	
n is required if the drawin	o by the Examiner. ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ang(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). and Office Action or form PTO-152.
	·
have been received in y documents have bee	Application No In received in this National Stage
4) ☐ Interview Paper No	v Summary (PTO-413)
	have been received. have been received in ty documents have bee (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). If the certified copies no

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Group I in the reply filed on 5-14-07 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Applicants are requested to remove the references to claims 1 and 2.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1, the phrase "or the like" renders the claim(s) indefinite because the claim(s) include(s) elements not actually disclosed (those encompassed by "or the like"), thereby rendering the scope of the claim(s) unascertainable. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Application/Control Number: 10/506,732

Art Unit: 1755

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Japanese document 2004323279.

The Japanese document teaches fused glass particles where the outer surface of the particle is crystallized.

The instant claims are silent as to the amount of porosity and some porosity would inherently be present. The claims are considered anticipated.

- 6. Applicant cannot rely upon the foreign priority papers to overcome this rejection because a translation of said papers has not been made of record in accordance with 37 CFR 1.55. See MPEP § 201.15.
- 7. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Japanese document 5-97473

The Japanese document teaches a glass material having nepheline crystals on the surface and fused together. Nepheline is inherently acicular. The instant claims are

Application/Control Number: 10/506,732

Art Unit: 1755

silent as to the amount of porosity and some porosity would inherently be present.

Being derived from waste glass does not compositionally or physically distinguish from any glass material. All glass materials utilize cullet in their manufacture.

It is well settled that when a claimed composition appears to be substantially the same as a composition disclosed in the prior art, the burden is properly upon the applicant to prove by way of tangible evidence that the prior art composition does not necessarily possess characteristics attributed to the CLAIMED composition. In re Spada, 911 F.2d 705, 15 USPQ2d 1655 (Fed. Circ. 1990); In re Fitzgerald, 619 F.2d 67, 205 USPQ 594 (CCPA 1980); In re Swinehart, 439 F.2d 2109, 169 USPQ 226 (CCPA 1971).

8. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Japanese document 2000-272959.

The Japanese document teaches a waste glass particle formed into a porous material that is coated with a wollastonite crystallized glass.

It is well settled that when a claimed composition appears to be substantially the same as a composition disclosed in the prior art, the burden is properly upon the applicant to prove by way of tangible evidence that the prior art composition does not necessarily possess characteristics attributed to the CLAIMED composition. In re Spada, 911 F.2d 705, 15 USPQ2d 1655 (Fed. Circ. 1990); In re Fitzgerald, 619 F.2d 67, 205 USPQ 594 (CCPA 1980); In re Swinehart, 439 F.2d 2109, 169 USPQ 226 (CCPA 1971).

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl E. Group whose telephone number is 571-272-1368. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30-4:00) First Friday Off.

Art Unit: 1755

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo can be reached on 571-272-1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Raine Gro**y**p/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1755

Keg 5-23-07